

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

DAVID PORTER, SCOTT SUTTER and BETHANY WHALEY,

Plaintiffs,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 09-14507
HON. Cohn
MAG. Majyorb

٧

CITY OF FLINT and DONALD WILLIAMSON, individually,

Defendants.

LAW OFFICE OF GLEN N. LENHOFF BY: GLEN N. LENHOFF (P32610)

MICHAEL E. FREIFELD (P48198)

Attorney for Plaintiffs 328 South Saginaw Street 8th Floor, North Building Flint, Michigan 48502

(810)235-5660

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

There is no other pending or resolved civil action between the parties arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as alleged in this Complaint.

NOW COME Plaintiffs, David Porter, Scott Sutter and Bethany Whaley, by and through their attorney, the Law Office of Glen N. Lenhoff, and hereby complain of Defendants, City of Flint and Donald Williamson, as follows.

PARTIES AND JURISDICTION

1. Plaintiffs, David Porter, Scott Sutter and Bethany Whaley (hereinafter "Plaintiffs"), are residents of the County of Genesee, State of Michigan. Furthermore, all three were Captains in the Flint Police Department at all times pertinent to this lawsuit.

LAW OFFICE OF GLEN N. LENHOFF 328 S. SACHNAW ST. BTH FLOOR, NORTH BUILDING FLINT, MICHIGAN 48502

PH. (810) 235-5660 FAX (810) 235-5641

- 2. At all pertinent times to this lawsuit, Defendant City of Flint (hereinafter "Defendant Flint") was a municipal corporation located in the State of Michigan. Defendant Flint is located in the Eastern Judicial District of Michigan.
- Defendant Donald Williamson (hereinafter "Defendant Williamson")
 is a resident of the County of Genesee, within the Eastern Judicial District of Michigan.
- 4. Defendant Williamson was the Mayor of Defendant Flint at all times pertinent to this lawsuit. Defendant Williamson is being sued in this case in his individual capacity.
- In light of the foregoing, venue is proper in the United States District
 Court for the Eastern District of Michigan under 28 USC §1392(c).
- 6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case based on federal question jurisdiction, which is codified in 28 USC §1331 as well as 42 USC §1343, because this is a 42 USC §1983 case.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. Plaintiffs hold the rank of Captain with Defendant Flint's police department.
- 8. Plaintiffs have performed their duties with Defendant Flint's police department in a diligent and effective manner.
 - Plaintiffs are all Caucasian.
- 10. On or about October 26, 2006, Defendant Williamson, City of Flint Police Chief Gary Hagler, and leaders of the Flint NAACP, the African American Police League and the Flint Police Officers Association met to discuss on-going

LAW OFFICE OF GLEN N. LENHOFF 328 S. SAOINAW ST. BTH PLOOR, NORTH BUILDING FLINT, MICHIGAN 48502

> PH, (810) 235-5660 FAX (810) 235-5641

labor issues within the Defendant Flint's police department.

As a result of that meeting, a memorandum of understanding was

agreed to between the parties.

As part of the memorandum of understanding, Defendant

Williamson agreed to change the promotional process within Defendant Flint's

police department to promote cultural diversity.

13. On November 9, 2006, Defendant Williamson and others

announced the terms and conditions of the memorandum of understanding at a

news conference at the Flint NAACP offices.

14. On November 9, 2006, during an interview with ABC 12 news

reporter Dawn Jones, Terry Neeley (President of the African American Police

League) stated that the discussion with Defendant Williamson concerning the

memorandum of agreement revolved around increasing diversity on Defendant

Flint's police department.

15. Specifically, Neeley stated that the discussions involved hiring more

African-Americans into the police department, promoting more African-Americans

into the higher command structure of Defendant Flint's police department, and to

change the testing process for promotions.

16. On December 1, 2006, Defendant Williamson announced the

creation of the Citizens Service Bureau. As a result, five new positions were

created in Defendant Flint's police department; one "Major" and four "Inspector"

positions were created.

LAW OFFICE OF GLEN N. LENHOFF 328 S. SAGINAW ST. 8TH PLOOR, NORTH BUILDING FLINT, MICHIGAN 48502

> PH, (810) 235-5660 FAX (810) 235-5641

> > 3

17. According to the job descriptions released by Defendant Flint, the Inspector positions on the Citizens Service Bureau are to supervise some police and civilian personnel. The minimum entrance requirements for these positions are: (1) knowledge of modern police administrative methods, organization and procedure; (2) knowledge of police training and principles and methods; (3) ability to interpret departmental procedures, rules and regulations, and polices; and (4) ability to plan, assign, supervise and review varied police work.

18. According to the job descriptions released by Defendant Flint, the Major position on the Citizens Service Bureau is to supervise the Inspectors. The minimum entrance requirements for this position are: (1) knowledge of modern police administrative methods, organization and procedure; (2) knowledge of police training and principles and methods; (3) ability to interpret departmental procedures, rules and regulations, and polices; and (4) ability to plan, assign, supervise and review varied police work.

- 19. The wage rate for the Major and Inspector positions is higher than the wage rate for Captains and Lieutenants.
- 20. On December 2, 2006, in an article in <u>The Flint Journal</u>, Defendant Williamson announced that he selected four black males and one white female to be on the Citizens Service Bureau. All of the candidates were selected from the Police Officer ranks.
- 21. The four African-American Officers selected for these positions were chosen because Defendant Williamson was attempting to deflect criticism from the African-American Police League concerning the lack of black officers in

LAW OFFICE OF GLEN N. LENHOFF 328 S. SAGINAW ST. 8TH PLOOR, NORTH BUILDING FLINT, MICHIGAN 48302

> PH. (810) 235-5660 FAX (810) 235-5641

2:07-cv-14507-AC-MKM Doc # 1 Filed 10/23/07 Pg 5 of 9 Pg ID 5

command positions within the Police Department and Defendant Williamson was

trying to curry favor from Flint's African-American community in the upcoming

2007 mayoral elections.

22. The Major and Inspector positions were never posted so that other

members of the City of Flint Police Department could apply for these jobs. No

testing procedure was used to fill these positions. In fact, none of the traditional

procedures used to fill these positions were utilized.

23. If Plaintiffs would have known about the openings for these

positions, Plaintiffs would have applied for these positions, as Plaintiffs were

qualified for these positions.

24. On December 6, 2006, Defendant Williamson armounced the

individuals who were selected for the Citizen Service Bureau positions.

25. John Kehey, an African-American Male, was selected as Major of

the Citizens Service Bureau. Plaintiffs were more qualified than Kehey for the

Major position.

26. Connie Johnson, a white female, and David Dicks, Jermaine Reese,

and Ralph Tedford, three African-American males, were selected for the

Inspector positions on the Citizen Service Bureau. Plaintiffs were more qualified

than all of these individuals for the Inspector positions.

27. Plaintiffs' race was a factor taken into account and applied to

Plaintiffs' detriment in the selection process for the members of the Citizens

Service Bureau in December 2006.

LAW OFFICE OF GLEN N. LENHOFF 328 S. SAGINAW ST. 87H PLOOR, NORTH BUILDING FLINT, MICHIGAN 48502

> PH. (810) 235-5660 PAX (810) 235-5641

> > 5

28. Plaintiffs have suffered mental anguish and economic loss as a result of the said hiring denials. Plaintiffs will also seek punitive darnages against Defendant Williamson.

COUNT I. ELLIOTT-LARSEN MCLA §37.2202 RACIAL DISCRIMINATION CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANTS WITH RESPECT TO THE DECEMBER 2006 HIRING DENIAL OF PLAINTIFFS TO THE MAJOR AND INSPECTOR POSITIONS ON THE CITIZEN SERVICE BUREAU

- 29. Plaintiffs hereby reallege and incorporate by reference the preceding paragraphs.
- 30. The said hiring denial to the Major and Inspector positions on the Citizens Service Bureau in December 2006 was an act of racial discrimination committed by Defendants against Plaintiffs.
- 31. Such racial discrimination is violative of the Michigan statute known as the Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act as stated in MCLA §37.2202.
- 32. Therefore, Plaintiffs hereby assert a MCLA §37.2202 racial discrimination claim against Defendants.

COUNT II. 42 U.S.C. §1983 CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANT WILLIAMSON WITH RESPECT TO THE DECEMBER 2006 HIRING DENIAL OF PLAINTIFFS TO THE MAJOR AND INSPECTOR POSITIONS ON THE CITIZEN SERVICE BUREAU

- 33. Plaintiffs hereby reallege and incorporate by reference the preceding paragraphs.
- 34. Defendant Williamson acted under color of law with respect to the said December 2006 hiring denial of Plaintiffs to the Major and Inspector positions on the Citizens Service Bureau.

LAW OPPICE OF GLEN N. LENHOFF 328 S. SAGINAW ST. 8TH FLOOR, NORTH BUILDING FLINT, MICHIGAN 48502

> PH. (810) 235-5660 FAX (810) 235-5641

35. Defendant Williamson denied Plaintiffs the said Major and Inspector positions on the Citizens Service Bureau, in substantial part, because of Plaintiffs' race.

- 36. Thus, Defendant Williamson violated Plaintiffs' rights under the equal protection clause of the United States Constitution.
- 37. Therefore, Plaintiffs assert a 42 USC §1983 racial discrimination claim against Defendant Williamson.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for Judgment against Defendants for damages as the Jury deems just, together with costs and interest. The said damages should include all damages allowed by law, including compensatory damages, punitive damages and attorney fees under 42 USC §1988.

RELIANCE UPON JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Dated: 10/22/

GLEN N. LENHOFF (P32610) Law Office of Glen N. Lenhoff

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Dated: 10/22/07

MICHAEL E. FREIFELD (P48198)

Law Office of Glen N. Lenhoff

Attorney for Plaintiffs

G:/clients/fpd -- captains/complaint

JS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

2:07-cv-14507-AC-MKM Doc # 1 Filed 10/23/07 PASERNIGOHN

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the fitting and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

1 (a) DI AINTEGE

MONA K MA.170118

inter a mental interes				DEFENDANTS	ı	INDIAN I	V. IVIAUZC	JUB	
DAVID PORTER, SCOTT SUTTER AND BETHANY WHALEY				CITY OF FLI individuall		DONALD '	VILLIAMSON	,	
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF GENESEE (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT GENESEE (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDENNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER) LAW OFFICE OF GLEN N. LENHOFF 328 South Saginaw Street, 8-North				ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)	07 -	145	.0 /	· ,, <u>-</u>	
Flint, M	1 48502, (810)								
II. BASIS OF JURISD 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff D2 U.S. Government	DETION PLACE AND TO 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)		(For	itizen of This State 🛘 🗆	TF DEF	ncorporated of of Business	o ONE BOX FOR DEFI or Principal Place in This State	PTF DEF	
Defendant	(Indicate Citizen in Item III)	ship of Parties	c	Citizen of Another State					
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE	BOX ONLY)		<u> </u>	(Bokson	<u>√</u> .			
CONTRACT	···	RTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKE	TOPICY E	TOTHER STA	TUTES	
[] 110 Interprope [] 120 Mainte [] 130 Miller Act [] 140 Mejoriable Instrument [] 150 Recovery of Overpayment [] 151 Recovery of Overpayment [] 152 Recovery of Defauted Student Loars [Erol, Veterrans] [] 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veterrans Benefit; [] 160 Stockholders' Suits [] 190 Other Contract [] 196 Contract Product Liebility REAL PROPERTY [] 210 Land Condemnation [] 220 Foreclosure [] 240 Torts to Land [] 245 Tort Product Liebility [] 290 All Other Real Property V. ORIGIN	PERSONAL MAJURY 310 Airplane Product 318 Airplane Product 320 Asseult, Ubol & Startior 320 Asseult, Ubol & Startior 320 Asseult, Ubol & Startior 340 Marine Product 340 Marine Product 350 Motor Verticle 350 Motor Verticle 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 341 Voting 342 Employment 343 Housing 344 Warfane 344 Warfane 344 Other Civil Rights	PERSONAL III 962 Personal Inj Med. Melor Personal Inj Product Use 968 Asbestos Pr Injury Product Use 970 Other Frauct 971 Truth In Lan 970 Other Person 971 Truth In Lan 970 Other Person 971 Truth In Lan 970 Other Person 970 Ot	LURY LURY LUCY LUCY	616 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Setzure of Property 21 USC 681 630 Unjury Carlot Related Setzure 640 Rel. & Truck 640 Art. & Truck 640 Art. & Truck 640 Art. & Truck 640 Other 640	[] 423 Withdrawal		400 Augustate Responsionment (25194 Antiquet (25195 Banks and Banking (25495 Commitmee/ICC Rates/etc.) (25495 Commitmee/ICC Rates/etc.) (25495 Commitmee/ICC Rates/etc.) (25495 Compt Organizations (2550 Backsteine Service (2550 Backsteine Service (2550 Backsteine Service (2550 Backsteine Service (2550 Backsteine Act.) (2		
1 Original 2 Removed from 2 Remanded from 4 Reinstated or 5 another district 2 Multidistrict 7 Magistrate Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened (specify) Litigation Judgment									
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CWL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FLING AND WRITE SHEFT STATEMENT OF CAUSE. CO NOT CITE JURISHCHONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY) This is a 42 USC Section 1983 employment discrimination case.									
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER ER.C.R 2	A CLASS ACT	TION	DEMAND \$ 75,00	,,,	HECK YES OF URY DEMAI	ly if demanded in ID: XX ^{YES}	oomplaint:	
VIII.RELATED CASE(DOCKET	NUMBER						
10/12/07		SIGNATURE OF	h. 1	RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP _	<i>J</i>	Middle		MAG. JAJOX	ı£		

PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11

1.	Is this a case that has been previously discontinued or dismissed?	□ YES	M NO
	If yes, give the following information:		
	Court:		
	Case No.:		
	Judge:		
2.	Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinue or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.) If yes, give the following information:		√NO
	Court:		
	Case No.:		
	Judge:		
NOT			